TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21) STATE: ID

APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- Form 6 Number and Percentage of Newborn and Others Screened, Case Confirmed, and Treated
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04,07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
 - O MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
 - O MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
 - O SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
 - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
 - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
 - O OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
 - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
 - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
 - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
 - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
 - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)
- New State Performance and Outcome Measures for New Needs Assessment Period

APPLICATION FOR FEDERAL ASSIS		2. DATE SUBMITTED 2/15/2005		APPLICANT IDENTIFIER				
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION:	3	B. DATE RECEIVED BY	STATE	STATE APPLICATION IDENTIFIER				
Application Pre-application Construction	12	I. DATE RECEIVED BY	FEDERAL AGENCY					
Non-Construction Non-Con								
5. APPLICANT INFORMATION	IST GOTOT							
Legal Name:		Organizational Unit:						
Idaho Department of Health and Welfare		Bureau of Clinical and Preventive Services						
Address (give city, county, state and zip code) PO Box 83720 450 W. State Street, 4th Floor Boise, ID 83720 County: Ada		Name and telephone number of the person to be contacted on matters involving this application (give area code) Name: Russell A. Duke Tel Number: 208-334-0670						
6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (EI	N):	II .	ANT: (Enter appropriate	′				
82 600099	5	B. County I. C. Municiple J. D. Township K.						
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION:		9 NAME OF FEDERA		ation, Maternal and Child Health Bureau				
New Continuation Revision		Trouis recourses as	ia coi vicco /talililloti	anon, maternar and orma nounin Baroad				
If Revision, enter appropriate letter(s) in box(es) A. Increase Award B. Decrease Award C. Increase Duration Decrease Duration Other (specify):								
10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMESTIC ASSINUMBER:	STANCE		TLE OF APPLICANT'S rvices DUNS: 82-520-					
93 994 TITLE: Maternal and Child Health Services B	look Gront							
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROJECT (cities, c		1						
states, etc.): State of Idaho	,							
13. PROPOSED PROJECT:		14. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF:						
Start Date: Ending Date: 09/30/2006		a. Applicant 1-2		b. Project 1-2				
				UTIVE ORDER 12372 PROCESS?				
a. Federal \$ 3,373,170.00 a.		2 PROCESS FOR REV		AVAILABLE TO THE STATE EXECUTIVE				
b. Applicant \$ 0.00 b.	NO =							
c. State \$1,800,000.00	I PROC	GRAM IS NOT COVER						
d. Local \$ 729,878.00	I OR P	ROGRAM HAS NOT B	EEN SELECTED BY S	TATE FOR REVIEW				
e. Other \$ 0.00								
f. Program \$ 0.00								
I α ΤΩΤΔΙ \$ 5 903 048 00 _	_	CANT DELINQUENT C	ON ANY FEDERAL DEI	ВТ				
18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTHORIZED ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASSISTAN	BY THE GOVE	RNING BODY OF THE						
a. Typed Name of Authorized Representative Karl B. Kurtz				c. Telephone Number 208-334-5500				
d. Signature of Authorized Representative				e. Date Signed				

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS FOR FY 2006									
[Secs. 504 (d) and 508									
FEDERAL ALLOCATION (Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424])		\$	3,373,170						
Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for: A.Preventive and primary care for children:									
\$1,037,038 (30.74%)									
B.Children with special health care needs:									
\$ 1,407,313 (41.72 %)	-\(0 505(-\(0\))								
(If either A or B is less than 30%, a waiver request must accompany the application C.Title V admininstrative costs:	1)[Sec. 505(a)(3)]								
\$ <u>337,317</u> (<u>10 %)</u>									
(The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)]									
2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424)		\$	0						
3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424)		\$	1,800,000						
4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424)		\$	729,878						
5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424)		\$	0						
6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424)		\$	0						
		•	0.500.070						
7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6) (Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount) \$2,141,219		\$	2,529,878						
8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRANT PART (Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424)	NERSHIP (SUBTOTAL)	\$	5,903,048						
9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administration of the Title	√ program)								
a. SPRANS:	\$0								
b. SSDI:	\$0								
c. CISS:	\$0								
d. Abstinence Education:	\$0								
e. Healthy Start:	\$0								
f. EMSC:	\$0								
g. WIC:	\$ 1,747,383								
h. AIDS:	\$ 1,888,722								
i. CDC:	\$ 0								
j. Education:	\$ 0								
k. Other:									
ACF-TANF	\$ 1,400,000								
CDC-IMMUNIZATION	\$ 1,638,571								
CDC-STD	\$ 428,685								
CDC-WHC	\$ 1,523,132								
PHS-TITLE X	\$ 1,629,689								
10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds under item 9)		\$	10,256,182						
11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL		\$	16,159,230						
(Partnership subtotal + Other Federal MCH Funds subtotal)		Ψ	10,100,200						

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 2
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2	2006
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$3,373,876	\$3,612,848	\$3,387,761	\$0	\$3,373,170	\$0
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$1,830,000	\$1,805,000	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,800,000	\$0
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$	\$904,636	\$1,540,821	\$0	\$	\$0
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$195,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$6,099,283	\$6,322,484	\$5,928,582	\$0	\$5,903,048	\$0
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	NERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$30,060,000	\$28,787,067	\$ 26,883,255	\$0	\$10,256,182	\$0
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$ 36,159,283	\$35,109,551	\$32,811,837	\$0	\$16,159,230	\$0
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2001	FY 2	2002	FY 2	2003
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$3,303,178	\$3,325,763	\$3,325,763	\$3,381,284	\$3,381,284	\$2,666,211
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$	\$ 32,510	\$	\$ 1,802,366	\$ 2,535,963	\$ 600,250
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$3,977,032	\$0	\$	\$0	\$1,399,409
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$ 160,000	\$ 181,134	\$160,000	\$ 185,010	\$160,000	\$0
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$5,940,562	\$ 7,516,439	\$5,980,086	\$ 6,102,257	\$6,077,247	\$ 4,665,870
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTI	NERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$\$22,206,943	\$22,206,943	\$21,652,694	\$28,904,161	\$25,415,660	\$ 23,590,372
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$ 28,147,505	\$ 29,723,382	\$27,632,780	\$35,006,418	\$31,492,907	\$ 28,256,242
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		_

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main

Field Name: FedAllocExpended Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The entire block grant award for fiscal years 03' and 04' was not spent within the first year of the grant cycle. State general funds became available on a one time basis and were used to offset MCH expenditures. The 03' funds will be spent first and excess 04' cycle funds that will result are currenlty being used for two projects: MCH 5 year needs assessment which will be conducted by Health Systems Research, Inc, and a childhood obesity prevention project.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Because of the inability to enter data the local match section of the previous year's form 2 in any amount less than 75%, both state and local funds were included in the state

funds section.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: LocalMCHFundsExpended Row Name: Local MCH Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Because of the inability to enter data the local match section of the previous year's form 2 in any amount less than 75%, both state and local funds were included in the state

funds section

Section Number: Main

Field Name: LocalMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: Local MCH Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Needed to increase local fund contribution because availability of state general fund was less than projected.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: ProgramIncomeExpended

Row Name: Program Income Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

A decision made by the Department for all grants is to report only the required match. While general fund revenues were generated, they are not needed to meet the MCH block grant requirements. This will allow funds to be used as for other grant match requirements and eliminate the potential for them to be double reported.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: ProgramIncomeExpended Row Name: Program Income

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Program income is not needed to meet 75% match since it was met through local and state match.

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

	FY 2004				FY 2005			FY 2006				
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	Bude	GETED	EXP	ENDED	Bui	DGETED	EXPENDE	D	But	OGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$	226,154	\$	282,368	\$	436,249	\$	0	\$	381,837	\$	C
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	1,371,204	\$	1,467,855	\$	1,090,307	\$	0	\$	1,421,096	\$	C
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$	2,026,582	\$	2,121,058	\$	1,953,696	\$	0	\$	2,044,780	\$	C
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$	1,751,236	\$	1,797,530	\$	1,540,665	\$	0	\$	1,422,657	\$	C
e. Others	\$	386,719	\$	317,959	\$	568,889	\$	0	\$	295,361	\$	C
f. Administration	\$	337,388	\$	335,714	\$	338,776	\$	0	\$	337,317	\$	C
g. SUBTOTAL	\$	6,099,283	\$	6,322,484	\$	5,928,582	\$	0	\$	5,903,048	\$	0
II. Other Foderal Funds (under the		af the mercen re		acible for odmini	-44	ion of the Title V						
a. SPRANS	le l	of the person re	spol	isible for admini	strat	on of the little v	program).		e	0		
] 	0			ф <u></u>	0			Φ	0		
b. SSDI	<u> </u>				5				\$			
c. CISS	<u></u>	0			\$	0			\$	0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
e. Healthy Start	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
f. EMSC	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
g. WIC	\$2	22,239,500			\$	17,744,363			\$	1,747,383		
h. AIDS	\$	2,417,700			\$	2,081,601			\$	1,888,722		
i. CDC	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
j. Education	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
k.Other												
ACF-TANF	\$	0			\$	0			\$	1,400,000		
CDC-IMMUNIZATION	\$	0			\$	0			\$	1,638,571		
CDC-STD	\$	0			\$	0			\$	428,685		
CDC-WHC	\$	0			\$	0			\$	1,523,132		
PHS-TITLE X	\$	0			\$	0			\$	1,629,689		
ACF - TANF	\$	0			\$	1,100,000			\$	0		
CDC - Immunization	\$	0			\$	1,767,802			\$	0		
CDC - STD	\$	0			\$	431,229			\$	0		
CDC - WHC	\$	0			\$_	2,244,190			\$_	0		
PHS - Title X	\$	0			\$	1,514,070			\$	0		
CDC Immunization	\$	3,443,600			\$	0			\$	0		
CDC STD	\$	307,100			\$	0			\$	0		
Title X	\(\frac{1}{s} \)	1,652,100			\$	0			\$	0		
III. SUBTOTAL] \$ <u></u> \$:	30,060,000			<u>-</u>	26,883,255			<u>-</u>	10,256,182		

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

	FY	2001	FY:	2002	FY 2003		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$355,916	\$302,687	\$351,659	\$ 136,122	\$\$	\$ 251,509	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$1,062,264	\$1,669,717	\$978,036	\$1,166,161	\$1,083,613	\$531,683	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$2,072,703	\$ 2,720,921	\$	\$1,911,485	\$1,931,603	\$1,152,946	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$1,870,611	\$2,141,716	\$	\$ 2,275,117	\$2,115,128	\$ 2,155,602	
e. Others	\$310,191	\$ 352,052	\$ 310,129	\$ 345,478	\$ 310,129	\$ 274,462	
f. Administration	\$\$	\$329,346	\$268,877	\$338,128	\$338,128	\$ 299,668	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$5,940,562	\$7,516,439	\$5,980,086	\$6,172,491	\$6,077,247	\$ 4,665,870	
II. Other Federal Funds (under the c	ontrol of the person r	esponsible for admini	stration of the Title V	program).			
a. SPRANS	\$0		\$0		\$0		
b. SSDI	\$100,000		\$0		\$0		
c. CISS	\$0		\$0		\$0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$ 205,228]	\$\$		\$0		
e. Healthy Start	\$0]	\$0		\$0		
f. EMSC	\$0]	\$0		\$0		
g. WIC	\$ 17,703,074]	\$17,747,383		\$ 18,357,000		
h. AIDS	\$ 1,394,302]	\$1,250,586		\$1,987,000		
i. CDC	\$0		\$0		\$0		
j. Education	\$0		\$0		\$0		
k.Other]	1		ı			
CDC - Immunization	\$0		\$0		\$ 3,261,000		
CDC - STD	\$0		\$0		\$ 390,000		
Title X	\$ 995,204		\$ 901,372		\$1,420,660		
CDC-Immunization	\$1,438,989		\$1,163,979		\$0		
CDC-STD	\$ 370,146		\$384,146		\$0		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$22,206,943]	\$21,652,694		\$25,415,660		

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The FFY 03' budget included expenses beyond the 75% block grant requirement. The Idaho Department of Health and Welfare made an adminstrative decision to only report required match on grants. This is why the federal and state partnership appears to be less that budgeted. This change in policy has been accounted for in the FFY 05' projected budget.

2. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

More pregnant women were served proportionally than anticipated when compared to women over the age of 22 in the reproductive health program.

3. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_0_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The FFY 03' budget included expenses beyond the 75% block grant requirement. The Idaho Department of Health and Welfare made an adminstrative decision to only report required match on grants. This is why the federal and state partnership appears to be less that budgeted. This change in policy has been accounted for in the FFY 05' projected budget.

4. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_1_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The FFY 03' budget included expenses beyond the 75% block grant requirement. The Idaho Department of Health and Welfare made an adminstrative decision to only report required match on grants. This is why the federal and state partnership appears to be less that budgeted. This change in policy has been accounted for in the FFY 05' projected budget.

5. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

6. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The FFY 03' budget included expenses beyond the 75% block grant requirement. The Idaho Department of Health and Welfare made an adminstrative decision to only report required match on grants. This is why the federal and state partnership appears to be less that budgeted. This change in policy has been accounted for in the FFY 05' projected budget.

7. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

More pregnant women were served proportionally than anticipated when compared to women over the age of 22 (other category) in the reproductive health program.

8. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The FY 03' block grant was underspent because one time general funds were used to offset MCH expenses. The amount included on this line equals 10% of the total block grant expenses. This is the amount that is used to cover Department indirects.

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

Type of Sepulor	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2006		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 2,347,051	\$	\$ 2,837,651	\$0	\$ 2,026,502	\$0	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$41,136	\$	\$1,887,000	\$0	\$53,000	\$0	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$ 3,134,833	\$3,064,707	\$ 362,000	\$0	\$	\$0	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$576,263	\$	\$841,931	\$0	\$941,668	\$0	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$6,099,283	\$6,322,484	\$5,928,582	\$0	\$5,903,048	\$0	

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

Type of Genylor	FY 2	2001	FY 2	2002	FY 2003		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 2,496,068	\$ 2,843,490	\$ 2,627,740	\$ 2,607,016	\$ 2,738,185	\$	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$48,000	\$	\$48,000	\$ 27,858	\$	\$\$	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$ 2,624,250	\$3,894,503	\$\$	\$ 2,521,966	\$ 2,532,534	\$1,236,702	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$	\$ 773,733	\$	\$	\$	\$ 663,964	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$5,940,562	\$7,516,439	\$5,980,086	\$5,877,859	\$6,077,247	\$4,665,870	

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The enabling services budget only includes the Idaho Careline. Anticipated expenditures were based on historical expenditures. Careline is set up on a cost allocation plan so that means the MCH share of the overall careline budget was less than anticipated.

2. Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

The Idaho Careline comprises the majority of block grant expenditures in the enabling services category. Careline's budget is set up on a cost allocation plan based on usage. The anticipated usage for FFY 2004 was greater than actual and this accounts for lower spending in this category compared to the budgeted amount.

3. Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended
Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The FFY 03' budget included expenses beyond the 75% block grant requirement. The Idaho Department of Health and Welfare made an adminstrative decision to only report required match on grants. This is why the federal and state partnership appears to be less that budgeted. This change in policy has been accounted for in the FFY 05' projected budget.

4. Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The FFY 03' budget included expenses beyond the 75% block grant requirement. The Idaho Department of Health and Welfare made an adminstrative decision to only report required match on grants. This is why the federal and state partnership appears to be less that budgeted. This change in policy has been accounted for in the FFY 05' projected budget.

5. Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

The majority of the difference between the projected budget and actual expenditures in the infrastructure category can be accounted for in the genetics program. When the budget was projected for FFY 2004, the entire allocation was placed in the direct health care services category. The program has evolved to include broader educational services to health care providers in effort to improve the capacity to address general genetics in primary care settings. This allows the genetics clinics staffed by genetics physicians to focus on the more complex cases.

			FORM 6										
NUMBER AND PE	RCENTAGE OF	NEWBORNS AN	ND OTHERS SC	REENED, CAS	ES CONFIRMED,	AND TREATED							
		5	Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)										
STATE: ID													
Total Births by Occurrence: 22,537 Reporting Year: 2004													
				•									
Type of Screening Tests	(A Receiving at lea (1	st one Screen	(B) No. of Presumptive Positive	(C) No. Confirmed	(C Needing Tre Received Ti	atment that							
	No.	%	Screens	Cases (2)	No.	%							
Phenylketonuria	21,778	96.6	4		4	100							
Congenital Hypothyroidism	21,778	96.6	181	10	10	100							
Galactosemia	21,778	96.6	8	2	2 2	100							
Sickle Cell Disease	14,208	63	0	(0								
Other Screening	(Specify)												
Medium Chain AcylCo-A Dehydrogenase (MCAD)	21,778	96.6	28	3	3	100							
Screening Progra	ms for Older Ch	ildren & Wome	n (Specify Tests	by name)									
(1) Use occurrent births as denominator. (2) Report only those from resident births. (3) Use number of confirmed cases as denominator.													

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main Field Name: BirthOccurence

Row Name: Total Births By Occurence Column Name: Total Births By Occurence

Field Note:

This is a provisional number and will be updated when finalized.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease_OneScreenNo Row Name: SickleCellDisease

Column Name: Receiving at least one screen

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Sickle Cell Disease and other hemoglobinopathies were added to the Idaho test battery in May, 2004. Prior to that time, Sickle Cell screens had to be conducted by special request. Since one confirmed case in 2003, there have been no other cases identified to date.

Section Number: Main Field Name: Phenylketonuria_Confirmed Row Name: Phenylketonuria Column Name: Confirmed Cases

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Any confirmed PKU cases receive immediate attention from the genetics program's nutritionist and genetic counselor. These staff people, in conjuction with an Oregon metabolic specialist, begin treatment procedures, schedule the family for a clinic visit, and follow the young patient carefully, monitoring monthly phe levels and communicating regularly with the family to provide education and guidance.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease_Confirmed

Row Name: SickleCellDisease Column Name: Confirmed Cases

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Please see note for column A.

Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: ID

Reporting Year: 2004

	TITLE V		PRIMAF	RY SOURCES OF COV	'ERAGE	
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %
Pregnant Women	1,938	75.0		11.0	14.0	
Infants < 1 year old	22,537	30.0		60.0	10.0	
Children 1 to 22 years old	75,886	40.0		5.0	55.0	
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	1,949	34.0		55.0	11.0	
Others	25,766	20.0		10.0	70.0	
TOTAL	128,076					

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 7
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX (BY RACE AND ETHNICITY) [Sec. 506(A)(2)(C-D)] STATE: ID

Reporting Year: 2003

I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown		
DELIVERIES										
Total Deliveries in State	21,290	20,270	107	345	316	20_		232		
Title V Served	20,864	19,864	105	338	310	20		227		
Eligible for Title XIX	6,388	6,081	32	104	95	6		70		
INFANTS										
Total Infants in State	20,089	19,393	120	349	227					
Title V Served	19,687	19,005	118	342	222					
Eligible for Title XIX	6,027	5,818	36	105	68					

II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

				HISPANIC OR LATINO (Sub-categories by country or area of origin)				
	(A) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	(C) Ethnicity Not Reported	(B.1) Mexican	(B.2) Cuban	(B.3) Puerto Rican	(B.4) Central and South American	(B.5) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	18,311	2,838	141	2,259	4	24	65	486
Title V Served	17,945	2,781	138	2,214	4	24	64	476
Eligible for Title XIX	5,493	851	42	678	1	7	20	146
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	17,347	2,742						
Title V Served	17,000	2,687						
Eligible for Title XIX	5,204	823						

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTotal_All Row Name: Total Deliveries in State Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data from Idaho birth certificate not available due to revisions in birth certificate for 2004. Data will be available September 2005. This data is 2003 data. Form 6 includes provisional data for the number of births in 2004. The source of data is from the Department's Bureau of Vital Records and Health Policy.

2. Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleV_All Row Name: Title V Served Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data from Idaho birth certificate not available due to revisions in birth certificate for 2004. Data will be available September 2005.

3. Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTotal_All Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data from Idaho birth certificate data available due to revisions in birth certificate for 2004. Data will be available September 2005. The discrepency results from other forms

6 and 7 using provisional birth data for 2004.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleV_TotalHispanic

Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006

Field Note:

The method by which the number of title V served is multiplying the total number by .98. At least 98% of all infants have a newborn hearing and/or metabolic screen performed. When calculated for columns B1-B5, the numbers were rounded and therefore are off from the total by one.

. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX_TotalHispanic

Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The method by which the number of title V served is multiplying the total number by .3. Approximately 30% of births are paid for by Medicaid. When calculated for columns B1-B5, the numbers were rounded and therefore are off from the total by one.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTotal_TotalHispanic Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

We do not currently have data requested for B.1 to B.5

7. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleV_TotalHispanic

Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

We do not currently have data requested for B.1 to B.5

8. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX_TotalHispanic Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

We do not currently have data requested for B.1 to B.5

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: ID

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	211 or 800 926-2588	800 926-2588 or 211	800 926-2588 or 211	(800) 926-2588	(800) 926-2588
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	Idaho CareLine	Idaho CareLine	Idaho CareLine	Idaho CareLine	Idaho CareLine
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Patricia Williams	Patricia Williams	Patricia Williams	Patricia Williams	Patricia Williams
Contact Person's Telephone Number	208 287-1020	208 334-5551	208 334-5551	(208) 334-5551	(208) 334-5551
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0		8,622	9,500	32,417

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: ID

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number					
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name					
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"					
Contact Person's Telephone Number					
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0	0	0	0	0

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: hnumber_2
Row Name: State MCH toll-free hotline telephone number

Column Name: FY Year: 2004

Field Note:
A 211 line has been added to access Idaho Careline. Callers can either use the existing 1-800 or the new easier to remember 211 line.

FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT **STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2006** [SEC. 506(A)(1)] STATE: ID

1. 5	State	MCH	Administration:
------	-------	-----	-----------------

(max 2500 characters)

The Bureau of Clinical and Preventive Services, Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, administers the Title V MCH grant. No changes in organization structure have clude -- cut from last year's app

25,766

occurred over the past year. The programs directly supervised l		,	· ·
Block Grant Funds			
2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$	3,373,170	
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$	0	
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$	1,800,000	
5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$	729,878	
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$	0	
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$	0	
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$	5,903,048	
Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:			
			7 public health districts
		St	. Luke's Children's Hospitals
	Ph	ysicians from OR He	ealth and Science University

10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7)

a. Pregnant Women 1,938 22,537 b. Infants < 1 year old 75,886 c. Children 1 to 22 years old d. CSHCN 1,949

- 11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:
- a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services:

(max 2500 characters)

e. Others

Cut paste and update from last years grant

b. Population-Based Services:

(max 2500 characters)

cut paste and update from last years grant

c. Infrastructure Building Services:

(max 2500 characters)

cut paste and update from last years grant

- 12. The primary Title V Program contact person:
- 13. The children with special health care needs (CSHCN) contact person:

Name	Russell Duke	Name	Brett Harrell
Title	Chief, Bureau of Clinical and Preventive Services	Title	Manager, Childrens Special Health, Newborn Screening
Address	450 West State Street	Address	450 West State Street
City	Boise	City	Boise
State	Idaho	State	Idaho
Zip	83720	Zip	83720
Phone	208-334-0670	Phone	208-334-5963
Fax	208-332-7346	Fax	208-334-6581
Email	duke@idhw.state.id.us	Email	harrellb@idhw.state.id.us
Web		Web	

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 11 TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES [SECS 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: ID

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 01

The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs (e.g. phenylketonuria and hemoglobinopathies) who receive appropriate follow up as defined by their State.

		Annual C	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				100	100
Annual Indicator	98.2	99.3	97.3	95.0	100.0
Numerator	19,937	20,537	20,404	19	16
Denominator	20,302	20,686	20,965	20	16
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	manco Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	100	100	100	100	100
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only th not required for futu		bove years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators are

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02

The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they receive. (CSHCN survey)

60

			Annual O	bjective and	l Perforn	nance Data			
	2000	2001		2002		2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective							60		60
Annual Indicator					57.2		57.2		57.2
Numerator						-			
Denominator									
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final		Final	
			Annual O	bjective and	l Perforn	nance Data			
	2005	2006		2007		2008		2009	

60

Annual Indicator

Annual Performance Objective

Numerator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators are not required for future year data.

60

60

60

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 03					
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	o receive coordinate	ed, ongoing, comprel	hensive care within a r	medical home. (CSHC	CN Survey)
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				50	52
Annual Indicator			49.1	49.1	49.1
Numerator					
Denominator		-			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	52	52	52	52	52
Annual Indicator					
Numerator Denominator	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators ar
Denominator	not required for futi		above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators ar
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	not required for fut	ure year data.	,		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	not required for fut	ure year data.	,	pay for the services t	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	not required for fut	ure year data.	or public insurance to	pay for the services t	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	ose families have ac	ure year data. dequate private and/	or public insurance to Objective and Perfor	pay for the services t	hey need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)	ose families have ac	ure year data. dequate private and/ Annual 2001	or public insurance to Objective and Perfore 2002	pay for the services t	hey need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective	ose families have ac	ure year data. dequate private and/ Annual 2001	or public insurance to Objective and Perfore 2002	pay for the services to transce Data 2003	hey need. (CSHCN 2004 60
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	ose families have ac	dequate private and/ Annual 2001	or public insurance to Objective and Perfore 2002	pay for the services to transce Data 2003	hey need. (CSHCN 2004 60
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	ose families have a	ure year data. dequate private and/ Annual 2001	or public insurance to Objective and Perfore 2002	pay for the services to transce Data 2003	hey need. (CSHCN 2004 60
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have a	dequate private and/ Annual 2001	or public insurance to Objective and Perfore 2002	pay for the services to rmance Data 2003 53.3	hey need. (CSHCN 2004 60 53.3
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have a	dequate private and/ Annual 2001	or public insurance to Objective and Perfore 2002 53.3	pay for the services to rmance Data 2003 53.3	hey need. (CSHCN 2004 60 53.3
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ac	dequate private and/ Annual 2001 Annual	or public insurance to Objective and Perfore 2002 53.3 Objective and Perfore	pay for the services to rmance Data 2003 53.3 Final	hey need. (CSHCN 2004 60 53.3 Final
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2005 Please fill in only the	dequate private and/ Annual 2001 Annual 2006 60	or public insurance to Objective and Perfore 2002 53.3 Objective and Perfore 2007	pay for the services to transce Data 2003 53.3 Final rmance Data 2008 60	hey need. (CSHCN 2004 60 53.3 Final 2009 60

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 05					
Percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whose Survey)	families report the c	ommunity-based ser	vice systems are orga	nized so they can use	e them easily. (CSH
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				77	80
Annual Indicator			75.2	75.2	75.2
Numerator	·				
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	80	80	80	80	80
Annual Indicator	Dloogo fill in only th	o Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor Donominator and	Appual Indicators a
Numerator	, Flease IIII III OHIY II	ie Obiectives for the	above vears, numera	ioi, Denominator and	
	not required for full	ure year data.	,	,	, iiii aa iii aa aa aa aa
Denominator	not required for full	ure year data.		,	7 1111001 111001010 0
Denominator	not required for full	ure year data.			7
Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for fut	ure yéar data.	,		
Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for fut	ure year data.	,	ult life. (CSHCN Surve	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for fut	ure year data.	on to all aspects of add	ult life. (CSHCN Surve	
Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transitio	on to all aspects of add Objective and Perfore 2002	ult life. (CSHCN Surve	ey)
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transitio Annual 2001	on to all aspects of adu Objective and Perfor 2002	ult life. (CSHCN Surve mance Data 2003	ey) 2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transitio Annual 2001	on to all aspects of add Objective and Perfor 2002 5.8	ult life. (CSHCN Surve mance Data 2003	2004 6
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transitio Annual 2001	on to all aspects of adu Objective and Perfor 2002	ult life. (CSHCN Surve mance Data 2003	2004 6
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transitio Annual 2001	on to all aspects of add Objective and Perfor 2002 5.8	ult life. (CSHCN Surve mance Data 2003	2004 6
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transitio Annual 2001	on to all aspects of add Objective and Perfor 2002 5.8	ult life. (CSHCN Surve mance Data 2003 6 5.8	2 004 6 5.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transitio Annual 2001	on to all aspects of add Objective and Perfor 2002 5.8	ult life. (CSHCN Surve rmance Data 2003 6 5.8 Final	2 004 6 5.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transitio Annual 2001	on to all aspects of adding to all aspects of adding 2002	ult life. (CSHCN Surve rmance Data 2003 6 5.8 Final	2 004 6 5.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transitio Annual 2001 Annual	on to all aspects of add Objective and Perfore 2002 5.8 Objective and Perfore	ult life. (CSHCN Surve mance Data 2003 6 5.8 Final	2004 6 5.8 Final
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	the services necess 2000 2005	Annual 2006	Objective and Performance 5.8 Objective and Performance 5.8 Objective and Performance 2007	rmance Data 2003 6 5.8 Final Final 2008	2004 6 5.8 Final 2009
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	the services necess 2000 2005 6	Annual 2006 Annual 2006 6	Objective and Performance 5.8 Objective and Performance 5.8 Objective and Performance 2007	rmance Data 2003 6 5.8 Final Final 2008	2004 6 5.8 Final 2009

•		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	72	74	76	77	
Annual Indicator	70	70.2	69.4	79	80
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
	2005	Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective		82	83	84	2009
Annual Indicator					
		ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator	not required for futu	ure year data.			
Donominator					
REORMANCE MEASURE # 08					
			Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
	2000		Objective and Perfor	rmance Data 2003	2004
	2000	Annual	-		2004
e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	2000	<u>Annual</u> 2001	2002	2003	
e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective	2000 25 21.0	Annual 2001 24.9	2002	2003	
e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 25 21.0 669	Annual 2001 24.9 19.0	2002 17 18.4 582	2003 16 17.5	
e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 25 21.0 669 31,901	Annual 2001 24.9 19.0 604	2002 17 18.4 582	2003 16 17.5 545	
e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 25 21.0 669 31,901	Annual 2001 24.9 19.0 604 31,718	17 18.4 582 31,561	2003 16 17.5 545 31,176 Final	
e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 25 21.0 669 31,901	Annual 2001 24.9 19.0 604 31,718	2002 17 18.4 582 31,561 Objective and Perform	2003 16 17.5 545 31,176 Final	
ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 25 21.0 669 31,901	Annual 2001 24.9 19.0 604 31,718 Annual 2006	2002 17 18.4 582 31,561 Objective and Perforation	2003 16 17.5 545 31,176 Final Tmance Data 2008	2009
ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective	2000 25 21.0 669 31,901 2005	Annual 2001 24.9 19.0 604 31,718	2002 17 18.4 582 31,561 Objective and Perform	2003 16 17.5 545 31,176 Final	2009
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 25 21.0 669 31,901 2005	Annual 2001 24.9 19.0 604 31,718 Annual 2006	2002 17 18.4 582 31,561 Objective and Perforation	2003 16 17.5 545 31,176 Final mance Data 2008	2009

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 09							
Percent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	on at least one pe	rmanent mo	olar tooth.				
		2204	Annual (Objective and Perfor		2224	
Annual Parformance Chicative	2000 51	2001	50	2002 50.5	2003 60	2004	62
Annual Performance Objective							
Annual Indicato			53.6	59.7	49.9		50.
	r 9,236		10,361		9,426		37
	r 19,323		19,332	19,147	18,890		73
Is the Data Provisional or Final	?				Final	Final	
			Annual (Objective and Perfor	manaa Data		
	2005	2006	Alliuai	2007	2008	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	e 64		66	68	70		7.
Annual Indicato		· =				_	
	Diagon fill in only	the Objectiv	ves for the a	above years. Numerat	tor Denominator and	Annual Ind	icators
Numerato	not required for fu	ture year d	ata	uboro , ca	101, 20	/ 1111.00	1100.2
Denominato	r						
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10							
	motor vehicle cras	hes per 100),000 childre	en.			
		·		Objective and Perfor			
he rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by	2000	2001	Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002	2003	2004	<u>—</u>
The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective	2000 7.3	2001	Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002	2003	2004	4.
The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato	2000 = 7.3 r 6.4	2001	7.1 5.6	2002 5.6	2003 5 6.8	2004	4.
The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato	2000 7.3 r 6.4 r 18	2001	7.1 5.6	2002 5 5 5.6	2003	2004	4.
The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato	2000 = 7.3 r 6.4	2001	7.1 5.6	2002 5 5 5.6	2003 5 6.8	2004	4.
The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato	2000 2 7.3 7 6.4 7 18 7 283,307	2001	7.1 5.6	2002 5 5 5.6	2003 5 6.8 21	2004	4.
The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	2000 2 7.3 7 6.4 7 18 7 283,307	2001	7.1 5.6 17 305,087	2002 5 5.6 17 305,614	2003 5 6.8 21 307,803 Final	2004	4
The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	2000 7.3 7 6.4 7 18 7 283,307	2001	7.1 5.6 17 305,087	2002 5 5.6 17 305,614 Objective and Perfor	2003 5 6.8 21 307,803 Final		4
The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato Is the Data Provisional or Final	2000 2 7.3 T 6.4 T 18 T 283,307 7	2001	7.1 5.6 17 305,087	2002 5 5.6 17 305,614	2003 5 6.8 21 307,803 Final	2004	4
Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato Is the Data Provisional or Final [*] Annual Performance Objective	2000 7.3 7.3 7.4 18 7.5 283,307 2005	2001	7.1 5.6 17 305,087	2002 5 5.6 17 305,614 Objective and Perfor	2003 5 6.8 21 307,803 Final		4
The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato Is the Data Provisional or Final* Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato	2000 2000 7.3 7. 6.4 7. 283,307 2005	2001	7.1 5.6 17 305,087	2002 5 5.6 17 305,614 Objective and Perfor	2003 5 6.8 21 307,803 Final mance Data 2008	2009	

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11					
Percentage of mothers who breastfeed their infants at hospital disc	narge.				
			ual Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objecti			2.6 72.7	75	7
	or <u>73.4</u>		4.6 74.3	73.6	73.
Numerat	or 13,183	13,4	13,666	13,961	14,40
Denominat	or 17,955	18,0	18,398	18,977	19,70
Is the Data Provisional or Fina	4?			Final	Final
		A	t Ct to off or on I Boofe.	Dot-	
	2005	Ann:	ual Objective and Perfor 2007	rmance Data 2008	2009
Annual Performance Objecti			78 79	80	8
Annual Indicat		-	<u> </u>		
	Diaman fill in and a	he Ohiectives for	the above years. Numera	tor Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerat Denominat	not required for fut	ture year data.		,	
	re hospital discharge.		ual Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	re hospital discharge.		ual Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
	2000	<u>Ann</u> 2001	•		
Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing befo Annual Performance Objecti	2000	<u>Ann</u> 2001	2002	2003	2004 10 97.
Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing befo Annual Performance Objecti Annual Indicat	2000 ve64	2001 9	2002 80 82.5 1.4 96.8	2003 100	10
Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing befo Annual Performance Objecti Annual Indicat Numerat	2000 ve 64 or 77.2	9: 16,7	2002 80 82.5 1.4 96.8 198 18,275	2003 100 97.4	97. 20,07
Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing befo Annual Performance Objecti Annual Indicat Numerat	2000 ve 64 or 77.2 or 14,646 or 18,964	9: 16,7	2002 80 82.5 1.4 96.8 198 18,275	2003 100 97.4 19,532	97.
Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing befo Annual Performance Objecti Annual Indicat Numerat Denominat	2000 ve 64 or 77.2 or 14,646 or 18,964	9 16,7 18,3	2002 80 82.5 1.4 96.8 198 18,275 183 18,886	2003 100 97.4 19,532 20,060 Final	97. 20,07 20,54
Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing befo Annual Performance Objecti Annual Indicat Numerat Denominat	2000 ve 64 or 77.2 or 14,646 or 18,964	2001 9: 16,7 18,3	2002 80 82.5 1.4 96.8 198 18,275 83 18,886 ual Objective and Perform	2003 100 97.4 19,532 20,060 Final	10 97. 20,07 20,54 Final
Annual Indicat Numerat Denominat Is the Data Provisional or Fina	2000 ve 64 or 77.2 or 14,646 or 18,964 al? 2005	2001 9: 16,7 18,3 Ann. 2006	2002 80 82.5 1.4 96.8 18,275 83 18,886 ual Objective and Perforance 2007	2003 100 97.4 19,532 20,060 Final	10 97. 20,07 20,54 Final
Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing befo Annual Performance Objecti Annual Indicat Numerat Denominat Is the Data Provisional or Fina	2000 ve 64 or 77.2 or 14,646 or 18,964 al? 2005 ve 100	2001 9: 16,7 18,3 Ann. 2006	2002 80 82.5 1.4 96.8 198 18,275 83 18,886 ual Objective and Perform	2003 100 97.4 19,532 20,060 Final	97 20,07 20,54 Final
Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing befo Annual Performance Objecti Annual Indicat Numerat Denominat Is the Data Provisional or Fina Annual Indicat	2000 ve 64 or 77.2 or 14,646 or 18,964 al? 2005 ve 100	2001 9: 16,7 18,3 Anni 2006 1	2002 80 82.5 1.4 96.8 18,275 83 18,886 ual Objective and Perforance 2007	2003 100 97.4 19,532 20,060 Final Tmance Data 2008 100	10 97 20,07 20,54 Final

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 13								
Percent of children without health insurance.								
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor		<u>a</u>		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	12.3		12.2	12.1		12		12
Annual Indicator	13		13	13		13		13
Numerator								
Denominator								
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provisiona	al
				N. Sandan and Brands				
	2005	2006	<u>Annual C</u>	Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data	<u>a</u>	2009	
Annual Performance Objective			12	12		12		12
Annual Indicator								
	Diagon fill in anhy th	ne Objective:	s for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denomir	nator and	Annual Indic	cators :
	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objective: ure year data	s for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denomir	nator and	Annual Indio	cators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data	a. ogram.	above years. Numera			Annual Indio	cators a
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a	Please fill in only the not required for futions required for futions required by the I 2000	ure year data	a. ogram.				Annual Indio	cators a
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for futions required for futions required by the I 2000	ure year data	a. ogram.	Objective and Perfor	mance Data			
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a	Please fill in only the not required for fut. service paid by the I 2000	ure year data Medicaid Pro	ogram.	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	<u>a</u>		95
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for futions required for futions service paid by the form of the service paid by the form of the form	wre year data Medicaid Pro	ogram. Annual C	Objective and Perfore 2002	mance Data	a 90	2004	95 92.5
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut. service paid by the I 2000 73 83.2 88,994	ure year data Wedicaid Pro 2001	ogram. Annual C 73.1 87.1	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 73.2 89.5	rmance Data 2003	9 0 94.3	2004	95 92.5 50,105
Numerator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fute service paid by the I 2000 73 83.2 88,994 106,909	ure year data Medicaid Pro 2001	ogram. Annual C 73.1 87.1 113,555	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 73.2 89.5 127,524	rmance Data 2003	90 94.3 142,394	2004	95 92.5 50,105
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fute service paid by the I 2000 73 83.2 88,994 106,909	Wedicaid Pro	9gram. Annual C 73.1 87.1 113,555 130,313	2002 73.2 89.5 127,524 142,425	2003	90 94.3 142,394 151,017	2004	95 92.5 50,105
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fute service paid by the I 2000 73 83.2 88,994 106,909	Wedicaid Pro	9gram. Annual C 73.1 87.1 113,555 130,313	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 73.2 89.5 127,524	2003	90 94.3 142,394 151,017	2004	95 92.5 50,105
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fute service paid by the laservice paid by the	Wedicaid Pro	9gram. Annual C 73.1 87.1 113,555 130,313	2002 73.2 89.5 127,524 142,425	Primance Data 2003 1 Final	90 94.3 142,394 151,017	2004 1 1 Final	95 92.5 50,105 62,240
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fute service paid by the I 2000	Wedicaid Pro	96	2002 73.2 89.5 127,524 142,425 20bjective and Performance 2007 96.5	Final Final Final Final	90 94.3 142,394 151,017	2004 1 1 Final 2009	98 92.8 550,108 62,240 97.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fute service paid by the I 2000	Medicaid Pro	96	2002 73.2 89.5 127,524 142,425 2bjective and Performance 2007	Final Final Final Final	90 94.3 142,394 151,017	2004 1 1 Final 2009	9 92. 50,10 62,24 97.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15						
he percent of very low birth weight infants among all live births.						
	2000		Objective and Perfor		2004	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	0.7
Annual Performance Objective		1	1	0.8		0.7
Annual Indicator	1.1		1.0	1.0		
Numerator	220	200	201	228		
Denominator	20,294	20,686	20,954	21,780		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final		
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.3		0.
Numerator Denominator	not required for futu	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annuai Indicai	ors
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	not required for futu	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annuai Indica	iors
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	not required for futu	ure year data.			Annual Indica	iors
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	not required for futu	ure year data.	Objective and Perfor		2004	iors
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	not required for futu gh 19.	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 10	not required for futu gh 19.	Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	gh 19.	Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	gh 19. 2000 16.8 18.9	Annual 2001 16.7 21.3 24	Objective and Perfor 2002 20 13.7	mance Data 2003 19		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	gh 19. 2000 16.8 18.9 21 110,858	Annual 2001 16.7 21.3 24	Objective and Perfor 2002 20 13.7	mance Data 2003 19 13.8 15		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	gh 19. 2000 16.8 18.9 21 110,858	Annual 2001 16.7 21.3 24 112,936	Objective and Perfor 2002 20 13.7 15 109,671	19 13.8 15 108,796		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	gh 19. 2000 16.8 18.9 21 110,858	Annual 2001 16.7 21.3 24 112,936	Objective and Perfor 2002 20 13.7	19 13.8 15 108,796		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future gh 19. 2000 16.8 18.9 21 110,858	Annual 2001 16.7 21.3 24 112,936	Objective and Perfor 2002 20 13.7 15 109,671 Objective and Perfor 2007	19 13.8 15 108,796 Final	2004	1;
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15	not required for future gh 19. 2000 16.8 18.9 21 110,858 2005	Annual 2001 16.7 21.3 24 112,936 Annual 2006 11	Objective and Perfor 2002 20 13.7 15 109,671 Objective and Perfor 2007	19 13.8 15 108,796 Final mance Data 2008	2004	1

		A	Annual Obje	ctive and Perfor	mance Data		
	2000	2001		002	2003	2004	
Annual Performance Objective	58.5		58.6	65	66		
Annual Indicator	64.5		59.5	65.7	72.8		
Numerator	142		119	132	142		
Denominator	220		200	201	195		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Provisional	Final	
		A	Annual Obje	ctive and Perfor	mance Data		
	2005	2006	20	007	2008	2009	
		2000					
FORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only to not required for fu	he Objectives ure year data	75	75 e years. Numera	75		
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only to not required for fu	he Objectives ure year data rimester.	s for the abov	e years. Numera	tor, Denominator an		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator FORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only to not required for fu	he Objectives ure year data rimester.	75s for the abov		tor, Denominator an		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator FORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Int of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	Please fill in only to not required for further ginning in the first to 2000	he Objectives rure year data rimester.	75s for the abov	e years. Numera	tor, Denominator an	d Annual Ind	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator CORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only to not required for further ginning in the first to 2000	he Objectives rure year data rimester.	75 s for the abov	e years. Numera ctive and Perfor	tor, Denominator and the control of	d Annual Ind	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Int of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only to not required for further first to the second seco	he Objectives cure year data rimester.	75 s for the above Annual Obje 20 80.7	e years. Numera ctive and Perfor 202 80.8	mance Data 2003	d Annual Ind	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Int of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only to not required for further first to the second seco	nhe Objectives cure year data rimester.	75 s for the abov	e years. Numera ctive and Perfor 002 80.8 82.1	mance Data 2003 83	d Annual Ind	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator FORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Int of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only to not required for further first to the second seco	nhe Objectives cure year data rimester.	75 a for the above. Annual Obje 20 80.7 81.9	ctive and Perfor 002 80.8 82.1 16,710	mance Data 2003 83 81.3	d Annual Ind	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator FORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Int of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for further first to the second seco	he Objectives cure year data rimester.	75 a for the abov to the abov	ctive and Perfor 002 80.8 82.1 16,710 20,362	mance Data 2003 83 81.3 17,091 21,012 Final	d Annual Ind	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Int of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for further first to the second seco	he Objectives cure year data rimester.	75 s for the abov to the abov	ctive and Perfor 002 80.8 82.1 16,710	mance Data 2003 83 81.3 17,091 21,012 Final	d Annual Ind	icators

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 1					
Proportion of all pregnancies seen in Reproductive Health Clinics that	are unintended				
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	70	69.9	69.8	55	
Annual Indicator	61.8	60.3	60.5	66.7	69
Numerator	1,921	2,122	2,208	1,503	1,8
Denominator	3,109	3,519	3,652	2,254	2,68
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Ammunal	Objective and Barton	manas Data	
	2005	2006	Objective and Perfor 2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	55	55		55	
Annual Indicator					
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in anhy th	ne Ohiectives for the	above vears. Numera	tor Denominator and	Annual Indicators
	Diagon fill in anhy th	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.	above years. Numera		Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.			Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	Please fill in only the not required for fution articipants of less the 2000	ure year data. nan 20 years old Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data	
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program p	Please fill in only the not required for fut articipants of less the 2000 11.8	ure year data. nan 20 years old Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program p Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut articipants of less the 2000 11.8	ure year data. nan 20 years old Annual 2001 11.7	Objective and Perfor 2002 11.6 8.8	mance Data 2003 8	2004
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program p Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut articipants of less the 2000 11.8 8.9	ure year data. nan 20 years old Annual 2001 11.7 9.0 1,037	Objective and Perfor 2002 11.6 8.8 1,052	mance Data 2003 8 5.7	2004
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program p Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut. articipants of less the second s	ure year data. nan 20 years old Annual 2001 11.7 9.0 1,037	Objective and Perfor 2002 11.6 8.8 1,052	2003 8 5.7 618	2004 5 6
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program p Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut. articipants of less the second s	ure year data. nan 20 years old Annual 2001 11.7 9.0 1,037 11,508	Objective and Perfor 2002 11.6 8.8 1,052 11,903	8 2003 8 5.7 618 10,777 Final	2004 5 6 49 8,23
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program p Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut. articipants of less the second s	ure year data. nan 20 years old Annual 2001 11.7 9.0 1,037 11,508	Objective and Perfor 2002 11.6 8.8 1,052 11,903 Objective and Perfor	**************************************	2004 5 6 49 8,23 Final
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program p Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fut. articipants of less the second s	2001 11.7 9.0 1,508 Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor 2002 11.6 8.8 1,052 11,903 Objective and Perfor 2007	8 2003 8 5.7 618 10,777 Final mance Data 2008	2004 5 6 49 8,23
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program p Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut. articipants of less the second s	ure year data. nan 20 years old Annual 2001 11.7 9.0 1,037 11,508	Objective and Perfor 2002 11.6 8.8 1,052 11,903 Objective and Perfor 2007	**************************************	2004 5 6 49 8,23 Final
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program p Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut. articipants of less the second s	2001 11.7 9.0 1,037 11,508 Annual 2006 4.5	Objective and Perfor 2002 11.6 8.8 1,052 11,903 Objective and Perfor 2007	8 5.7 618 10,777 Final mance Data 2008 3.5	2004 5 6 4: 8,2: Final

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 3								
Jse of the Idaho CareLine as a clearinghouse (information/referral se	rvice) of information	for non-he			•		ces	
		2224	Annual C	bjective and Perfor		<u>ıta</u>	2224	
Annual Parformance Objective	2000 6,200	2001	8.000	2002 8.500	2003	8.500	2004	10,000
Annual Performance Objective		III.	0,000	0,500		0,300		10,000
	13,719							
Numerator			8,514	8,254		9,500		8,622
Denominator			1	1		1		1
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
			Annual C	bjective and Perfor	mance Da	ıta		
	2005	2006	· · · · · · · ·	2007	2008	itu.	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	11,000		11,500	12,000		12,500		13,000
Annual Indicator								
Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectiv ure year da	res for the a	bove years. Numerat	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual Ind	dicators
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectiv ure year da	res for the a	bove years. Numera	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual Ind	dicators
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	Please fill in only the not required for future. Team	ure year da	ata.	bjective and Perfor	mance Da			dicators
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of child deaths reviewed by the Idaho Child Mortality Review	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ne Objectiv ure year da	Annual C	bjective and Perfor 2002		ı <u>ta</u>	Annual Ind	
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year da	ata.	bjective and Perfor	mance Da			
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of child deaths reviewed by the Idaho Child Mortality Review	Please fill in only the not required for future. Team 2000	ure year da	Annual C	bjective and Perfor 2002	mance Da	ı <u>ta</u>		10
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of child deaths reviewed by the Idaho Child Mortality Review Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future. Team 2000	ure year da	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002 100	mance Da	100		10 Nal
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of child deaths reviewed by the Idaho Child Mortality Review Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Team 2000 41.1	ure year da	Annual C 100 50.2	Objective and Perfor 2002 100 NaN	mance Da	<u>ita</u> 100 NaN		100 Nat
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of child deaths reviewed by the Idaho Child Mortality Review Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Team 2000 41.1 81	ure year da	Annual C 100 50.2 102	Objective and Perfor 2002 100 NaN 0	mance Da	100 NaN 0		10 Nat
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of child deaths reviewed by the Idaho Child Mortality Review Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Team 2000 41.1 81	ure year da	Annual C 100 50.2 102 203	2002 100 NaN 0	mance Da 2003 Provision	100 NaN 0 0	2004	10 Nat
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of child deaths reviewed by the Idaho Child Mortality Review Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Team 2000 41.1 81	ure year da	Annual C 100 50.2 102 203	Objective and Perfor 2002 100 NaN 0	mance Da 2003 Provision	100 NaN 0 0	2004	10 Naf
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of child deaths reviewed by the Idaho Child Mortality Review Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Team 2000 41.1 81 197	2001	Annual C 100 50.2 102 203	Descrive and Perform 2002 100 NaN 0 0	mance Da 2003 Provision	100 NaN 0 0	2004 Provisio	10 Nal
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of child deaths reviewed by the Idaho Child Mortality Review Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for future. Team 2000 41.1 81 197 2005	2001 	Annual C 100 50.2 102 203 Annual C	2002 100 NaN 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	mance Da 2008	100 NaN 0 0 nal	2004 Provisio 2009	10 Nai nai
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of child deaths reviewed by the Idaho Child Mortality Review Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future. Team 2000 41.1 81 197 2005	2001 2006	Annual C 100 50.2 102 203 Annual C	Pojective and Perfor 2002 100 NaN 0 0 bjective and Perfor 2007	mance Da 2008	100 NaN 0 0 nal	2004 Provisio 2009	10 Na nal

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5					
Doses of hepatitis A vaccine administered to children at kindergarten e	entry				
			l Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		10,000	15,000	23,000	25,00
Annual Indicator	4,185	12,697.0	17,944.0	16,971.0	17,130.
Numerator		12,697	17,944	16,971	17,13
Denominator		1	1	1	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
	2005	<u>Annual</u> 2006	I Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective		29,000		35,000	38,00
Annual Ferromance Objective Annual Indicator	21,000	20,000	02,000	00,000	
	Please fill in only t	he Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	not required for fut	ure year data.			
Percent of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary tee		Annua	l Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
Percent of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary tee	2000	<u>Annua</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
Percent of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary tee Annual Performance Objective	2000 N/A	Annua 2001 N/A	Objective and Perfor 2002 37	mance Data 2003	3
Percent of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary tee Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 N/A	Annual 2001 N/A	2002 37 53.6	mance Data 2003 37 34.2	39.
Percent of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary tee Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 N/A	Annual 2001 N/A	2002 37 53.6 9,995	mance Data 2003 37 34.2 6,367	39. 27
Percent of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary tee Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 N/A	Annual 2001 N/A	2002 37 53.6	mance Data 2003 37 34.2 6,367 18,628	39. 27
Percent of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary tee Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 N/A	Annual 2001 N/A	2002 37 53.6 9,995	mance Data 2003 37 34.2 6,367	39. 27
Percent of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary tee Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 N/A	Annual 2001 N/A	37 53.6 9,995 18,647	mance Data 2003 37 34.2 6,367 18,628 Final	39. 27
Percent of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary tee Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 N/A	Annual 2001 N/A	2002 37 53.6 9,995	mance Data 2003 37 34.2 6,367 18,628 Final	39. 27
Percent of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary tee Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 N/A 2005	Annual N/A	Objective and Perfor 2002 37 53.6 9,995 18,647 Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003 37 34.2 6,367 18,628 Final	39. 27 71 Final
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 N/A 2005	Annual 2001 Annual 2006 52	Objective and Perfor 2002 37 53.6 9,995 18,647 Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003 37 34.2 6,367 18,628 Final mance Data 2008 56	39 27 71 Final

Percent of investigations completed on children with elevated blood le	ad levels				
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	100	100	100	100	100
Annual Indicator	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
Numerator	38	34	18	22	1
	38	34	18	22	1
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	100	100	100	100	10
Numerator	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the aure year data.		,	
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8	not required for futi	ure year data.			
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8	not required for futi	ure year data.			
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8	not required for futi	ure year data.	Objective and Perfor 2002		2004
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8	not required for fut	ure year data.	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 cent of deaths attributed to SIDS that are autopsied Annual Performance Objective	2000	Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 cent of deaths attributed to SIDS that are autopsied Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 95	Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 100	mance Data 2003	2004
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 cent of deaths attributed to SIDS that are autopsied Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 95 95.0 19	Annual (2001 100.0	Objective and Perfor 2002 100 100.0	mance Data 2003 100 94.7	2004
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 recent of deaths attributed to SIDS that are autopsied Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 95 95.0 19 20	Annual (2001 100.0 15	Objective and Perfor 2002 100.0 13	mance Data 2003 100 94.7 18	2004
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 recent of deaths attributed to SIDS that are autopsied Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 95 95.0 19 20	Annual (2001 100 15 15	Objective and Perfor 2002 100 100.0 13	mance Data 2003 100 94.7 18 19 Final	
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 recent of deaths attributed to SIDS that are autopsied Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 95 95.0 19 20	Annual (2001 100 15 15	Objective and Perfor 2002 100.0 13	mance Data 2003 100 94.7 18 19 Final	2004
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 Incrent of deaths attributed to SIDS that are autopsied Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 95 95.0 19 2005	Annual (2001 100.0 15 15	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003 100 94.7 18 19 Final	2004
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 95 95.0 19 2005	Annual (2006 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	0bjective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003 100 94.7 18 19 Final mance Data 2008 100	2004 1

		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	45	47.5	50	50	5
Annual Indicator	69.3	41.2	38.6	41.0	62.
Numerator	13,866	11,940	11,197	11,885	18,16
Denominator	20,000	29,000	29,000	29,000	29,00
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
	65	70	75	80	8

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

In 2003 one child had a mild form of a condition and needed no treatment and one child the date of treatment initiation is unknown.

2. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

4. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

7. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

8. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

9. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

10. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

11. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

12. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

13. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

14. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Because only one of the States (Maine) met the NCHS standards for reliability for PM 6, the 2002 indicator is the national average except for Maine which has its State

value noted.

15. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

16. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

17. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The percentage comes for the National Immunization Survey. No numbers are given as to how many were surveyed or how many are completely immunized.

18. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The percentage comes for the National Immunization Survey. No numbers are given as to how many were surveyed or how many are completely immunized.

19. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The percentage comes for the National Immunization Survey. No numbers are given as to how many were surveyed or how many are completely immunized.

20. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data not available for 2002, but will be available in November 2003.

21. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data from Idaho birth certificate data available due to revisions in birth certificate for 2004. Data will be available September 2005.

22. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data is from a survey of every third grade class in Idaho Falls school district # 91. State representative data will be available in 2005 from the Idaho State Smile Survey.

23. Section Number: Performance Measure #10 Field Name: PM10

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data not available for 2002, but will be available by November 2003.

24. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004

Field Note:

Idaho mortality database not finalized because not all out of state deaths certificates have been received. Data will be available September 2005.

25. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data is from the Current Population Survey by the US Census Bureau for the years 2000-2001.

26. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data is from the Current Population Survey by the US Census Bureau for the years 2000-2001

Could not find numerator and denominator.

27. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 CPS data not available.

28. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Working on getting the figures for CY2001.

9. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data not available for 2003.

0. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data not available for 2002, but will be available by November 2003.

1. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data from Idaho birth certificate data available due to revisions in birth certificate for 2004. Data will be available September 2005.

32. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data not available for 2002, but will be available by November of 2003.

33. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Idaho mortality database not finalized because not all out of state deaths certificates have been received. Data will be available September 2005.

34. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

data will be available for 2002 in approximately November of 2003.

35. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data for very low birth babies born at high-risk facilities are based on Idaho resident births in Idaho. Idaho does not receive hospital name for out of state births, therefore designation of high-risk facilities for babies born out of state is not available. Numerator is based on births to Idaho residents in Idaho and denominator is based on Idaho resident births.

36. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Prior to data year 2003, Idaho hospitals with a NICU were used as a proxy measure. However, Idaho has since found errors in that proxy measure and currently does not have a replacement measure.

37. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data for 2002 not available, but will be available by November of 2003.

38. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data re based on records with known data for prenatal care and are for Idaho resident births.

9. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data from Idaho birth certificate data available due to revisions in birth certificate for 2004. Data will be available September 2005.

40. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The Child Mortality Team is just getting the cases together for 2001. They review two years out. Information will be included in next year's grant.

41. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The Idaho Child Mortality Review Team has been disbanded. A summary of their 5 years of work will be developed as a final document produced by the team. Essentially the first few years of reviews idenfitied areas for improvement and as such recommendations were made. In the most recent year or two, the team believed they were basically reviewing similar types of deaths and that recommendations were just being repeated.

The manner in which the data was being reported in 1999 compared to 2000 and 2001 is the reason the rate of review had declined. All the deaths are reviewed by a subcommittee of the team, but only those that are believed to have been preventable are reviewed in detail by the entire team. These preventable deaths, such as homicide, suicide, and SIDS, were the only ones reported as reviewed in 2000 and 2001. The objective is still correct in the sense that 100% of all those eligible for review were in fact reviewed.

This measure will be replaced next year following completion of the 5 year needs assessment.

42. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The Idaho Child Mortality Review Team has been disbanded. A summary of their 5 years of work will be developed as a final document produced by the team. Essentially the first few years of reviews idenfitied areas for improvement and as such recommendations were made. In the most recent year or two, the team believed they were basically reviewing similar types of deaths and that recommendations were just being repeated.

The manner in which the data was being reported in 1999 compared to 2000 and 2001 is the reason the rate of review had declined. All the deaths are reviewed by a subcommittee of the team, but only those that are believed to have been preventable are reviewed in detail by the entire team. These preventable deaths, such as homicide, suicide, and SIDS, were the only ones reported as reviewed in 2000 and 2001. The objective is still correct in the sense that 100% of all those eligible for review were in fact reviewed

This measure will be replaced next year following completion of the 5 year needs assessment.

Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

A suggestion was made during the block grant review that we consider using an immunization rate for hepatitis A rather than simply reporting doses administered. CDC's national immunization survey does not include hepatitis A, so we have no practical way of determining a rate at this time. We will re-evaluate the value of this measure and may delete it from next year's application.

44. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data is from a survey of all kindergarten classes in Idaho Falls school district # 91. State representative data for children age 5 years will be available in 2005 from Idaho State Smile Survey of kindergarten students and in 2006 from Head Start Smile Survey.

45. Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Information is for Calendar Year.

46. Section Number: State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The Bureau of Health Policy and Vital Statistics has not finalized this information yet. Will be made available as soon as possible.

47. Section Number: State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Percent of deaths to Idaho resident babies attributed to SIDS that are autopsied. Note: some SIDS deaths may occur out of state.

48. Section Number: State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Idaho mortality database not finalized. Data will be available September 2005.

49. Section Number: State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The denominator is an estimate by the Urban Institute.

50. Section Number: State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The denominator is an estimate by the Urban Institute.

51. Section Number: State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The denominator is an estimate by the Urban Institute.

FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: ID

	0.7	10						
OUTCOME MEASURE # 01								
The infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births.								
			Annual O	bjective and Perfo	rmance Da	ıta		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	7.1		7	7		7		7
Annual Indicator	7.5			6.1		6.3		6.2
	152			127		138		
Denominator				20,973		21,794		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provisional	
			Annual O	bjective and Perfo	rmance Da	ıta		
	2005	2006	-	2007	2008	_	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	7		6	6		6		(
Annual Indicator					· <u> </u>			
Numerator	Please fill in only	the Objectiv	es for the al	bove years. Numera	ator, Denom	inator and	Annual Indica	tors
Denominator	not required for fu	ture year da	ıta.					
OUTCOME MEASURE # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality	rate.		Annual O	bjective and Perfo	rmance Ds	140		
	2000	2001	Ailliuai	2002	2003	<u>.ta</u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objective			0	0		0		(
Annual Indicator				1.6		2.0		1.
	. 0					12.7		
	7.5			6.1		6.3		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				0.1	Final	0.5	Provisional	
is the Data Flovisional of Final:					rinai		i iovisionai	
			Annual O	bjective and Perfo	rmance Da	ıta		
	2005	2006	7 tilliaar C	2007	2008	<u></u>	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	2		2	2		2		:
Annual Indicator								
Numerator	Please fill in only to not required for fu	he Objective	es for the al	bove years. Numera	itor, Denom	inator and	Annual Indica	tors
	not required for fil	ture vear da	nta.					

OUTCOME MEASURE # 03								
The neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.								
			Annual C	Objective and Pe		ata		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	4.5		4.5	4	5	4.5		4.5
Annual Indicator	5.4			4	0	3.8		3.9
Numerator	109				4	82		
Denominator	20,305			20,97	3	21,794		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provision	al
			Annual C	Objective and Per	formance D	ata		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	3.9		3.9	3	9	3.9		3.9
				•				
Annual Indicator								
Annual Indicator Numerator	Diaman Cill in and All	ne Objective	es for the a	above years. Num	erator, Deno	minator and	Annual Indi	cators
	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objective ure year da	es for the a	above years. Num	erator, Deno	minator and	Annual Indi	cators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objective ure year da	es for the a	above years. Num	erator, Deno	minator and	Annual Indi	cators
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objective ure year da	es for the a	above years. Num	erator, Deno	minator and	Annual Indi	cators a
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objective ure year da	ata.	above years. Num			Annual Indi	cators a
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for fution of the second of the sec	ure year da	ata.				Annual Indi	cators a
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for fution of the second of the sec	ure year da	Annual C	Objective and Per 2002	formance D			
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for fut. 2000 2.6	ure year da	Annual C	Objective and Per 2002	formance <u>C</u> 2003	ata		2.5
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut. 2000 2.6	ure year da	Annual C	Dbjective and Per 2002	formance <u>C</u> 2003	ata 2.5		2.5
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut. 2000 2.6 2.1 43	ure year da	Annual C	Dbjective and Per 2002	formance E 2003 5 1	2.5 2.6		2.5
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 2.6 2.1 43 20,305	ure year da	Annual C	2002 2	formance E 2003 5 1	2.5 2.6 56		2.5 2.5
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.6 2.1 43 20,305	ure year da	Annual C	2002 2 2002 2 2003 2 20097	formance E 2003 5 1 3 3 Final	2.5 2.6 56 21,794	2004	2.5
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 the postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.6 2.1 43 20,305	ure year da	Annual C	2002 2	formance E 2003 5 1 3 3 Final	2.5 2.6 56 21,794	2004	2.5 2.5
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.6 2.1 43 20,305	2001	Annual C	2002 2002 2009 2009 2009 2009 2009 2009	formance E 2003 5 1 3 Final	2.5 2.6 56 21,794	2004 Provision:	2.5
OUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2.6 2.1 43 20,305	2001 2006	Annual C	Dbjective and Per 2002 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	formance E 2003 5 1 3	2.5 2.6 56 21,794	2004 Provision:	2.s 2.s al
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2.6 2.1 43 20,305 2005	2001 2006	Annual C	Dbjective and Per 2002 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	formance E 2003 5 1 3	2.5 2.6 56 21,794	2004 Provision:	2. 2. al

Denominator

OUTCOME MEASURE # 05								
The perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.								
			Annual (Objective and Perf		<u>ata</u>		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective			9.7	9.6		9.5		9.4
Annual Indicator	10.4			7.9		8.0		(
Numerator	211			167		175		
Denominator	20,304			21,069		21,901		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provision	al
			Annual (Objective and Perfe	ormance D	ata		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	9.3		9	9		9		,
Annual Indicator								
Annual Indicator	Diaman fill in and all	ne Objectiv	es for the a	above years. Nume	ator, Denoi	minator and	Annual Indi	cators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectiv ure year da	es for the a	above years. Nume	ator, Denoi	minator and	Annual Indi	cators
	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectiv ure year da	es for the a	above years. Nume	rator, Denoi	minator and	Annual Indi	cators
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectiv ure year da	es for the a	above years. Nume	ator, Denoi	minator and	Annual Indi	cators
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectiv ure year da	ata.				Annual Indi	cators
Numerator Denominator OUTCOME MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year da	ata.	Objective and Perf	ormance D			cators
Numerator Denominator OUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectiv ure year da	ata.		ormance D 2003		Annual Indi	
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut 2000 28.3	ure year da	Annual (Objective and Perfo	ormance D 2003	<u>ata</u> 28		27.
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut 2000 28.3	ure year da	Annual (Dbjective and Perf. 2002 28.1	ormance D 2003	28 25.4		27.
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut 2000 28.3 22.2 63	ure year da	Annual (2002 28.1 22.4	ormance D 2003	28 25.4 73		27.
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 28.3 22.2 63 283,307	ure year da	Annual (Dbjective and Perf. 2002 28.1	ormance D 2003	28 25.4		27.9 29
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 28.3 22.2 63 283,307	ure year da	Annual (2002 28.1 22.4	prmance D 2003	28 25.4 73	2004	27.s
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 28.3 22.2 63 283,307	ure year da	Annual (2002 28.1 22.4	2003 Final	28 25.4 73 287,714	2004	27.s
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 28.3 22.2 63 283,307	ure year da	Annual C	2002 28.1 22.4 64 285,388 Objective and Perfe	Final 2008	28 25.4 73 287,714	2004	27.: 2: al
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 28.3 22.2 63 283,307	2001	Annual (2002 28.1 22.4 64 285,388	Final 2008	28 25.4 73 287,714	2004 Provision:	27.5
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 28.3 22.2 63 283,307	2001 2006	Annual C	2002 28.1 22.4 64 285,388 Objective and Perfe	Final 2008	28 25.4 73 287,714 ata	2004 Provision:	27. 2 al
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 28.3 22.2 63 283,307 2005 27.8 Please fill in only the not required for futh	2001 2006	Annual C	2002 28.1 22.4 64 285,388 20bjective and Perfective and Perfective 2007	Final 2008	28 25.4 73 287,714 ata	2004 Provision:	27 2

Denominator

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Indicator provided is a provisional estimate.

Data from Idaho birth certificate data not available due to revisions in birth certificate for 2004. Data will be available September 2005.

2. Section Number: Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Indicator provided is a provisional estimate.

Data from Idaho birth certificate data not available due to revisions in birth certificate for 2004. Data will be available September 2005.

3. Section Number: Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data from Idaho birth and death certificate data not available. Data will be available September 2005.

Indicator provided is a provisional estimate.

4. Section Number: Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data from Idaho birth certificate data not available due to revisions in birth certificate for 2004. Data will be available September 2005.

Indicator provided is a provisional estimate.

5. Section Number: Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data from Idaho birth and death certificate data not available due to revisions in birth certificate for 2004. Death data have not been finalized Data will be available

September 2005.

2004 indicator provided is a provisional estimate.

6. Section Number: Outcome Measure 6

Field Name: OM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Idaho mortality database not finalized because not all out of state deaths certificates have been received. Data will be available September 2005.

CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: ID 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 3 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 2 3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 1 4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 1 5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 0 6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 3 Total Score: 10 Rating Key 1 = Not Met 1 = Partially Met 2 = Mostly Met 3 = Completely Met

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

STATE: ID FY: 2006

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase ,list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. Pregnant Women and Children: Increase awareness of Medicaid programs for pregnant women and children across provider and community networks.
- 2. Perinatal Depression: Identify screening tools and work with state professional groups and the regional perinatal coalitions to develop mechanisms to assure appropriate use of the tools and availability of referral resources for perinatal depression.
- 3. EPSDT screenings: Develop strategies to assure that EPSDT screenings and follow up are occurring as appropriate for all infants, children and adolescents.
- 4. Adolescents: Assess the adolescent population risk behaviors and design interventions to target this population with input from teenagers and parents of targeted groups.
- 5. CSHCN: Strengthen the existing care coordination system and access to specialty care to address the complex care needs of all CSHCN.
- 6. Cultural Competency: Improve cultural competency across all programs that work with the Maternal and Child Health population.
- 7. Dental Health: Increase the awareness of the need for dental care during pregnancy and increase the number of women who seek dental care during pregnancy.
- 8. Health Education: Strengthen health education in the public schools, including developing strategies to assure that school health educators receive up to date training on health topics.
- 9. Systems Development: Develop and strengthen existing system collaboration efforts that focus on defined outcomes for the MCH population.
- 10. Overweight and obesity: Develop and implement strategies to reduce the problem of overweight and obesity among school age children.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 14
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: ID APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

		Description of Text whether the	B	What State, Organization or
No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Description of Technical Assistance Requested (max 250 characters)	Reason(s) Why Assistance Is Needed (max 250 characters)	Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known) (max 250 characters)
1.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Dental consultation for the dental health project.	Idaho is initiating and dental project for which we have no previous experience.	We have identifed a couple of dentist and dental hygienist that are available to provide consultation.
2.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	State to State consultation from a State with a "model" CSHCN program.	Idaho is in the process of transitioning away from being a payor of health care CSHCN to focusing on systems issues.	We would like a recommendation from MCHB based on experience with other states
3.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
4.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
5.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
6.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
7.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
8.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
9.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
10.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the			

measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: ID

SP# 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Proportion of all pregnancies seen in Reproductive Health Clinics that are unintended

STATUS: Acti

GOAL To reduce unintended pregnancies through family planning services and educational initiatives.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Total number of unintended pregnancies in Reproductive Health clinics statewide.

Denominator

Total number of pregnancies in Reproductive Health clinics statewide.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

State Reproductive Health Program Data

SIGNIFICANCE

Without effective contraception, 89 percent of couples who engage in sexual intercourse regularly will conceive within one year. Teenagers account for about one third of unintended pregnanceis with three quarters of teenage pregnancies occurring among teens who are not practicing contraception. Effective family planning and avoidance of unintended pregnancy can improve infant health. The institute of Medicine's 1985 report "Preventing Low Birthweight" found that the reduction in infant mortality in the United States over the past 20 years is due in part to effective family planning. According to the IOM Report, "The Best Intentions Summary, 1995, Studies show with unintended pregnancies, especially those that are unwanted, the mother is more likely to seek prenatal care after the first trimester or not to obtain care. She is more likely to expose the fetus to harmful substances such as tobacco or alcohol. The child of an unwanted conception is at greater risk of weighing less than 2,500 grams at birth, of dying in its first year of life, of being abused, and of not

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program participants of less than 20 years old

STATUS: Ac

To reduce adolescent pregnancy rates through family planning services and educational initiatives.

DEFINITION

GOAL

Numerator:

Number of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health clinic clients less than 20 years of age.

Denominator:

Total number of Reproductive Health clinic clients less than 20 years of age.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

State Reproductive Health Data

SIGNIFICANCE

The negative effects of unintended adolescent pregnancy include poor pregnancy outcome, emotional and psychological disruption, social and economic effects on the adolescent and her child, and economics consequences for society at large. In addition to the personal costs to teen parents and their babies, societal costs of teen childbearing are immense.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Use of the Idaho CareLine as a clearinghouse (information/referral service) of information for non-health related children's

social and developmental services

STATUS: A

GOAL Expand the Idaho CareLine to be the provider of clearinghouse information on services for young children, birth to three

years old.

DEFINITIONCalls for service categories other than those previously designated for the "MCH toll-free number". This would typically

include such categories as abuse/neglect, childcare, early learning, health insurance, self-reliance, social services, etc.

Numerator:

Number of calls for non-health related children's social and developmental services.

Denominator:

One

Units: Text: N.A.

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Idaho CareLine

SIGNIFICANCE

There are a number of services for very young children in Idaho, but no one place where a parent can turn to find out about all of them. Idaho's Governor has asked for creation of an early child development information clearinghouse for parents,

professionals, and the community for easy access to information on early child development.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of child deaths reviewed by the Idaho Child Mortality Review Team

STATUS:

GOAL To reduce infant mortality through review of death reports for trends accompanied by appropriate health promotion

intervention and system improvements.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of child deaths reviewed.

Denominator:

Total number of child deaths under 18 years of age.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

State death certificates, police, coroner, and autopsy resords, crash analysis reporting system records, child protective service records, public health lab genetics records, birth certificates, prehospital care records, and poison control records.

SIGNIFICANCE

A review of all child deaths will lead to identification of potentially preventable deaths among Idaho children by identifying risk factors which lead to preventable deaths. As a result, specific and feasible recommendations will be made to the Governor on ways in which child mortality may be reduced in Idaho.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Doses of hepatitis A vaccine administered to children at kindergarten entry

STATUS: Active

To reduce the rate of incidence of hepatitis A GOAL

DEFINITION

Numerator:Number of doses of hepatitis A vaccine administered to children at kindergarten entry

Denominator:

Units: 1 Text: N/A

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Idaho Immunization Program doses administered data

SIGNIFICANCE

repatitis A remains one of the most frequently reported vaccine preventable diseases. Current recommendations for the use of hepatitis A vaccine focus primarily on protecting individuals at risk of infection, and preventing infections in those communities or populations with very high rates of disease. A shift is needed from the present strategy, which emphasizes control of community wide hepatitis A outbreaks, to a strategy that focuses on achieving a sustained reduction in disease incidence through prevention of high disease rates in states and communities. Children living in states or communities (including counties or groups of counties) with hepatitis A rates consistently higher than the national average should be vaccinated against hepatitis A. Hepatitis A remains one of the most frequently reported vaccine preventable diseases. Current recommendations for the

Percent of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary teeth (have no decayed, missing or filled teeth due to **PERFORMANCE MEASURE:**

tooth decay)

STATUS:

Active

GOAL

To prevent early childhood caries

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of children age 5 years who are caries-free

Denominator:

Number of children age 5 years in the State during the year

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Data on prevalence of baby bottle tooth decay (early childhood caries) is collected annually via the WIC Program nutritional risk screening. During FY 2002, baseline data will be collected on a representative sample of kindergarten students.

SIGNIFICANCE

Dental caries is the single most chronic disease of childhood, occurring five to eight times as frequently as asthma, the second most chronic disease in children. Early childhood caries (ECC) affects the primary teeth of infants and young children age 1 to 6 years. Possible risk factors include large family size, age and education of the mother, nutritional status of the mother and infant, transfer of infectious organisms from parent or caregiver to infant, and lack of early and routine preventive dental care. Idaho State Smile Survey data collected over the past 15 years shows the need to: 1) start earlier to prevent dental disease, and 2) increase early access to dental care for low-income children. By the second grade, 60% of Idaho students have experienced tooth decay and 27% have unmet treatment needs. According to WIC Program data, 13% of clients age 48 to 59 months have baby bottle tooth decay.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of investigations completed on children with elevated blood lead levels

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce the morbidity associated with elevated blood lead levels in children.

DEFINITIONBeginning in FY 1999, completion of an investigation will include a follow-up within six months with documentation of a

reduction in blood lead level to <10 ug/dL.

Numerator:

Number of investigations completed on children with elevated blood lead levels.

Denominator:

Total number of reported elevated blood lead levels (>10 ug/dL) in children.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Idaho Epidemiology Program

SIGNIFICANCE

High blood lead levels are among the most prevalent childhood conditions and the most prevalent environmental threat to the health of children in United States. Health effects of highly elevated lead levels include coma, convulsions, profound irreversible mental retardation and seizures, and leath. Lead in the home environment is the major remaining source of human lead exposure. Thorough investigations are necessary to identify sources of exposure. Investigation of children with elevated blood levels will be conducted to identify potential exposure pathways and suggest methods of interrupting

exposure.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of deaths attributed to SIDS that are autopsied

STATUS: Active

GOAL To obtain accurate data on the number of SIDS deaths that occur in the state by providing funds for autopsies as part of the

exclusionary diagnosis.

DEFINITION Deaths in infants <1 year of age in which SIDS is the likely cause as determined by the coroner.

Number of deaths attributed to SIDS that are autopsied.

Denominator:

Total number of deaths attributed to SIDS that are reported.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Vital records collected by the state.

SIGNIFICANCE

This period of mortality reflects the environment and the care infants receive. SIDS deaths occur during this period and have been recently reduced due to new infant positioning in the U.S. Idaho's 1997 SIDS rate was approximately 55 percent higher than the preliminary U.S. rate. However, the diagnosis of SIDS is an exclusionary diagnosis that is made after an autopsy, a death scene investigation, and a complete medical history. It is unclear if all deaths currently attributed to SIDS have met this criteria. This review process should all us a greater ability to accurately track such deaths.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of CHIP eligible children who are enrolled in the program

STATUS: Active

Goal To work as a partner with Medicaaid in providing outreach to encourage enrollment of eligible children into the Children's

Health Insurance Program (CHIP).

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of children under 19 in the state who are enrolled in the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP)

Denominator:

Number of children under 19 in the state who are eligible for the CHIP program

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Idaho Medicaid Program

SIGNIFICANCE

As indicated in the National Core Performance Measure #12, uninsured individuals are more likely tohave an episode of needing and not getting medical care. Children without health insurance have an average of 1 less visit per year and receive

less treatment than unsured children with similar problems.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: ID

HEALTH SYSTEMS C	CAPACITY	MEASURE # 01
-------------------------	----------	--------------

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

			Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	0.2	21.4	26.1	28.0	28.0
Numerator	1	99	129	145	153
Denominator	45,775	46,164	49,406	51,875	54,629
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

			Annual Indicator Da	ata .	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	100.0	69.6	69.5	70.5	72.6
Numerator	1	14,162	14,804	15,706	16,985
Denominator	1	20,361	21,296	22,276	23,406
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

			Annual Indicator D	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	100.0	47.8	54.0	42.9	42.0
Numerator	1	300	302	210	235
Denominator	1	627	559	490	559
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	71.2	74.6	75.6	76.8	
Numerator	13,822	14,147	15,187	15,955	
Denominator	19,426	18,968	20,092	20,777	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	

	2000					
			2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indica	tor	48.6	44.2	45.3	48.0	49.2
Numera	tor	7,738	10,138	11,265	14,952	16,759
Denomina	tor	15,922	22,918	24,864	31,177	34,068
Is the Data Provisional or Fina	al?				Final	Final

0.0

0

2,820

Annual Indicator

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Numerator

Denominator

0.0

0

2,873

0.0

0

Final

2,726

0.0

0

3,077

0.0

0

1,949

Provisional

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Based on Medicaid data for Medicaid clients only.

2. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note: Medicaid data only.

3. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data for years prior to 2001 are not readily available.

4. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data not available prior to 2001.

5. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data for years prior to 2001 are not readily available.

5. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data prior to 2001 not available.

7. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2002 data not available at this time.

8. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data are for Idaho rsident births and are based on records with known data for calculating the index.

 Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04 Field Name: HSC04

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data from Idaho birth certificate unavailable due to revisions in birth certificate for 2004. Data will be available September 2005.

10. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

All youngsters who receive SSI in Idaho automatically qualify for a medical card through Idaho Medicaid. Medicaid, rather than Title V, pays for all rehabilitation services.

11. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

All youngsters who receive SSI in Idaho automatically qualify for a medical card through Idaho Medicaid. Medicaid, rather than Title V, pays for all rehabilitation services.

12. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name:

Column Name:
Year: 2004
Field Note:
All children who receive SSI in Idaho automatically qualify for a medical card through Idaho Medicaid. Medicaid, rather than Title V, pays for all necessary rehabilitation services.

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: ID

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity	omparison of health system capacity dicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid,		POPULATION		
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State		MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL	
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	7.1	6.2	6.5
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	6.6	5.4	6.3
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	73.5	85.6	81.3
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	70.2	80.3	76.8

FORM 18
HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL)
STATE: ID

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	133_
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 5) (Age range 6 to 18) (Age range 19 to 19)	2004	133 133 100
c) Pregnant Women	2004	133

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: ID

YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP
2004	150
2004	150 150 150
2004	133
	2004

Data are based on Idaho resident births. Note that payment source for out of state births is not collected. Data for "all" includes births with Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and unknown payment method.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: ID

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner? (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	1	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	1	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	1	No
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	1	No
Annual birth defects surveillance system	1	No
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	3	Yes

- 1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
 2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
 3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: ID

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	No
Other:		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	No
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	3	Yes
WIC Program Data	3	Yes
Other:		

*Where:

- 1 = No
- 2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is <u>not</u> large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.
- 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: BAW

Row Name: Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Assessing the feasibility of establishing this linkage will be part of the work done in the upcoming grant year of the Idaho State Systems Development Initiative Grant.

FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: ID

		OIAIL	10						
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01A									
he percent of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams.									
					Annual Indicator D	ata			
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator	r	6.7		6.4	6.2		6.5		6.5
Numerato	r	1,357		1,333	1,289		1,415		
Denominato	r	20,294		20,686	20,954		21,780		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final		Provisional	
Marian Carana Institution Mariana # 04B									
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.									
The percent of the singleton billing weighing less than 2,000 grains.					Annual Indicator D	ata			
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator	r	5.0		0.7	4.8		4.2		4.2
Numerato	r	995		134	969		876		
Denominato				20,109	20,353		21,105		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		-,				Final	,	Provisional	
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A									
The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.									
					Annual Indicator D	ata			
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator	r	1.1		1.0	1.0		1.1		1
Numerato	r	220		200	201		228		
Denominato	r	20,294		20,686	20,954		21,108		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	?					Final		Provisional	
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B									
The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams.									
					Annual Indicator D	ata			
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicato	r	0.9			0.7		0.7		0.7
Numerato	r	170			147		142		
Denominato		19,708			20,353		21,108		

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Final

		2224	Annual Indicator Da		0004
Annual Indicator	2000 12.5	2001 14.9	2002 10.8	2003 10.7	2004 10.
	38		33	33	
	303,007		305,614	307,803	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da	2003	2004
Annual Indicator		5.5	5.6	6.8	
Numerator	19			21	
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	303,007	309,622	305,614	307,803 Final	Provisional
ALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C					
death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve	hicle crashes amor	ng youth aged 15 thro	ough 24 years.		
			Annual Indicator Da		
					2004
Denominator	20.,002	200,.00	2:0,00:	2,020	
death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 31.7 65	2001 38.3 80	-	2003 32.7 71 217,325	2004

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

			Ammuel Indicates D	-4-	
	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da	ata 2003	2004
Annual Indicato	r <u>427.7</u>				999
	r 1,296				
	r 303,007				
Is the Data Provisional or Final					Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C					
ne rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashe	s among youth aged	l 15 through 24			
	2000	2004	Annual Indicator Da		2004
Amusel Indicate	2000 r 2,493.0	2001	2002	2003 3,844.9	2004 3,801.7
	·	· ·			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	r5,107			8,356	8,419
	r 204,852			217,325	221,454
Is the Data Provisional or Final	?			Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported	case of chlamydia.		Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicato	r 11.5		13.3	17.6	14.0
Numerato	r <u>631</u>		709	931	752
Denominato	r 54,807		53,240	52,842	53,846
	?				

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Provisional

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 20

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01A

Field Name: HSI01A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data is only available for CY2001.

2. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01A

Field Name: HSI01A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

6.5 entered as a provisional estimate.

Data from Idaho birth certificate data available due to revisions in birth certificate for 2004. Data will be available September 2005.

3. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01B

Field Name: HSI01B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data is only available for CY 2001.

4. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01B

Field Name: HSI01B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Denominator is total live births - births with unknown birth weight.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01B

Field Name: HSI01B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

4.2 entered as provisional estimate.

Actual data from Idaho birth certificate data available due to revisions in birth certificate for 2004. Data will be available September 2005.

6. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02A

Field Name: HSI02A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data only available for CY2001.

7. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02A

Field Name: HSI02A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Denominator is total live births - biths with unknown birth weight.

3. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02A

Field Name: HSI02A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 annual indicator entered as provisional estimate. Data not available.

9. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02B

Field Name: HSI02B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The denominator for indicators with birth weight is total live births - births with unknown birth weight.

10. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02B

Field Name: HSI02B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 indicator entered as a provisional estimate. 2004 data not available.

11. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03A

Field Name: HSI03A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 Indicator provisional estimate.

Idaho mortality database not finalized because not all out of state deaths certificates have been received. Data will be available September 2005.

12. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03B

Field Name: HSI03B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 Indicator provisional estimate.

Idaho mortality database not finalized because not all out of state deaths certificates have been received. Data will be available September 2005.

13. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03C

Field Name: HSI03C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 Indicator provisional estimate.

Idaho mortality database not finalized because not all out of state deaths certificates have been received. Data will be available September 2005.

14. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Idaho does not have a mechanism in place to collect this information.

15. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Could not identify a source of data.

16. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Could not identify a source of data. Entered 999 so that the form would save.

17. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data source not identified for 2002.

18. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data source not identified for 2003.

19. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data source not identified for 2004.

Entered a 999 to save the data; this is not an actual indicator.

20. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data source not identified.

21. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data source not identified.

22. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data source not identified.

Entered 999 so that the form would save; it is not meant as an indicator.

STATE: ID

HSI #06A - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	20,470	19,761	122	356	231			
Children 1 through 4	82,990	79,652	873	1,508	957			
Children 5 through 9	101,992	97,348	1,348	2,012	1,284			
Children 10 through 14	108,199	103,707	1,067	2,208	1,217			
Children 15 through 19	111,047	106,542	905	2,191	1,409			
Children 20 through 24	110,408	105,762	1,100	1,912	1,634			
Children 0 through 24	535,106	512,772	5,415	10,187	6,732	0	0	0

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	17,677	2,794	
Children 1 through 4	71,239	11,751	
Children 5 through 9	87,781	14,211	
Children 10 through 14	95,047	13,151	
Children 15 through 19	99,473	11,574	
Children 20 through 24	98,249	12,159	
Children 0 through 24	469,466	65,640	0

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	19	18	0	1	0	0	0	0
Women 15 through 17	191	151	5	18	4	2	0	11
Women 18 through 19	1,559	1,471	11	44	7	2	0	24
Women 20 through 34	17,959	17,099	86	279	273	15	0	207
Women 35 or older	2,109	2,010	4	25	45	2	0	23
Women of all ages	21,837	20,749	106	367	329	21	0	265

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Women < 15	11	8	0
Women 15 through 17	367	184	4
Women 18 through 19	1,185	358	17
Women 20 through 34	15,646	2,199	114
Women 35 or older	1,853	244	14
Women of all ages	19,062	2,993	149

HSI #08A - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	142	132	1	1	0	0	1	7
Children 1 through 4	31	26	0	2	0	0	2	1
Children 5 through 9	14	13	0	0	1	0	0	0
Children 10 through 14	30	27	0	0	0	0	0	3
Children 15 through 19	80	72	0	3	2	0	0	3
Children 20 through 24	96	89	0	5	1	0	0	1
Children 0 through 24	393	359	1	11	4	0	3	15

HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	116	24	2
Children 1 through 4	25	6	0
Children 5 through 9	14	0	0
Children 10 through 14	26	4	0
Children 15 through 19	73	7	0
Children 20 through 24	82	13	0
Children 0 through 24	336	54	2

HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	845,080	424,698.0	407,010.0	8,274.0	5,098.0				2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	25.0	10.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	0	0.3	0.6	2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	3.3	3.3	14.1	5.8	1.4	5.8	4.6		2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	150,713	143,650.0	1,853.0	4,025.0	899.0	286.0			2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	20,079	19,222.0	151.0	405.0	88.0	34.0	179.0		2004
Number living in foster home care	1,809	1,809.0							2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	81,327	76,315.0	955.0	2,546.0	477.0	159.0	875.0		2004
Number enrolled in WIC	46,307	46,307.0							2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	4,511.8								2004
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)	3.9								2004

HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	371,217.0	53,481.0		2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	9.9	12.3		2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	3.0	6.8	3.3	2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	121,136.0	29,613.0		2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	15,650.0	4,250.0		2004
Number living in foster home care			2,904.0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	63,518.0	16,060.0		2004
Number enrolled in WIC	29,314.0	11,009.0		2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests			4,502.8	2004
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)			3.8	2004

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	TOTAL
Living in metropolitan areas	92,461
Living in urban areas	192,436
Living in rural areas	109,481
Living in frontier areas	30,319
Total - all children 0 through 19	332,236

Note:

The Total will be determined by adding reported numbers for urban, rural and frontier areas.

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: ID

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Total Population	1,381,764.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	4.6
100% of poverty	14.0
200% of poverty	<u>35.0</u>

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: ID

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)
Reporting Year: 2004 Is this data from a State Projection? Yes Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Children 0 through 19 years old	378,049.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	1.7
100% of poverty	<u>14.0</u>
200% of poverty	45.0

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 21

State provisional estimates based on 2003 population data (bridged race estimates released 8/18/04) with a growth factor of 1.9%.

Form 8A,B uses a growth factor of 2.96%

Poverty data were obtained from the Current Population Survey; 2004 annual social and economic supplement. A growth factor of 1.9% was applied to the 2003 data to estimate 2004. This data represents children 0-18 rather than 0-19. Note: that the total population figures were taken from this same source and may not match other population figures exactly. No source for below 50% of poverty has been identified.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace_SingleParentPercent

Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Idaho Kids Count

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_TANFPercent
Row Name: Percent in TANF (Grant) families

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: Data not available.

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_WICNo Row Name: Number enrolled in WIC

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

the way the data are collected precludes using this form to present the data. The total number enrolled is 40,323. However, WIC data only break out the races as Non-Hispanic. Therefore a total on this form would not include people of Hispanic origin.

Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace_JuvenileCrimeRate

Row Name: Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: 2003 data. Source: Crime in Idaho

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_DropOutPercent

Row Name: Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: Data year 02-03

Souirce: Department of Education

Section Number: Indicator 10 Field Name: Metropolitan

Row Name: Living in metropolitan areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

could not identify source

Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11_50percent

Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

could not identify source.

Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12_50percent

Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Could not identify source.

NEW STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: ID

SP # 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

Percent of mothers who were screened for post partum depression within one month following delivery.

GOAL

To increase the number of pregnant women who either are depressed or are at risk for depression that are identified and

referred for help.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of new mothers who were screened for depression within one month following delivery.

Denominator:

Number of new mothers who were surveyed.

Units: 100 Text: 1

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

Idaho's Pregnancy Risk Assessment Tracking System

In 2001, 40.2% of mothers resported mild depression sometime during the first 3 months following delivery of their child.

14.8% reported moderate depression and 6.0% reported being very depressed. Postpartum depression disorders occur in as many as 85% of women. These may range from baby blues to severe depression in up to 15% of these women.

Postpartum depression usually presents 2-3 weeks following delivery, but signs may occur during pregnancy, as early as 28 weeks. A concern is that the patient's care provider may not acknowledge that she has a problem and some physicians still do not believe perinatal depressions exists. Currently there is a lack of health care providers to address the mental health needs of pregnant women and those suffering from postpartum depression. Work needs to be done to establish a referral network so that when a provider identifies a patient they have someone to send the woman to for help.

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of Medicaid and SCHIP children ages 1 and 2 that received the expected number of EPSDT screens.

GOAL

DEFINITION

To improve the health of children who may be at high risk for poor health.

Numerator: Number of Medicaid and SCHIP 1 and 2 year old children that received the expected number of EPSDT screens.

Denominator:

Number of Medicaid and SCHIP 1 and 2 year old children that are eligible for EPSDT screening.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Idaho Medicaid

SIGNIFICANCE

Children of families who are lower income tend to be at higher risk for health issues resulting from various factors such as poor nutrition. EPSDT screening is method for early identification and intervention for these children.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of 9th - 12th grade students that report having engaged in sexual intercourse.

GOAL Reduce the number of teens that are infected with an STD and/or experience an unplanned pregnancy.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of 9th - 12th grade students who had sexual intercourse

Denominator:

Number of 9th - 12th grade students surveyed

Units: 100 Text: 1

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

25-11.

Increase the proportion of adolescents who abstain from sexual intercourse or use condoms if currently sexually active to

95%

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Idaho Youth Behavioral Risk Factor Survey.

SIGNIFICANCE

Unintended pregnancies and sexually transmitted diseases (STDs), including infection with the human immunodeficiency virus that causes AIDS, can result from unprotected sexual behaviors. Abstinence is the only method of complete protection. Condoms, if used correctly and consistently, can help prevent both unintended pregnancy and STDs. Half of all pregnancies in the United States are unintended; that is, at the time of conception the pregnancy was not planned or not wanted. Unintended pregnancy rates in the United States have been declining. The rates remain highest among teenagers, women aged 40 years or older, and low-income African American women. Approximately 1 million teenage girls each year in the United States have unintended pregnancies. Nearly half of all unintended pregnancies end in abortion. Sexually transmitted diseases are common in the United States, with an estimated 15 million new cases of STDs reported each year. Almost 4 million of the new cases of STDs each year occur in adolescents. Women generally suffer more serious STD complications than men, including pelvic inflammatory disease, ectopic pregnancy, infertility, chronic pelvic pain, and cervical cancer from the human papilloma virus. African Americans and Hispanics have higher rates of STDs than whites. According to the 2003 Idaho YRBSS, 36.4% of 9th - 12th grade students reported having sexual intercourse.

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of 9th - 12th grade students who used any type of tobacco in the past 30 days

GOAL To reduce the number of teens that try tobacco for the first time and prevent ongoing use.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of 9th - 12th grade students who used any type of tobacco in the past 30 days

Denominator:

Number of 9th - 12th grade students Surveyed

Units: Text: 0

27-2h **HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE**

Reduce cigarette smoking by adolescents to 10%.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Idaho Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance system.

SIGNIFICANCE

Cigarette smoking is the single most preventable cause of disease and death in the United States. Smoking results in more deaths each year in the United States than AIDS, alcohol, cocaine, heroin, homicide, suicide, motor vehicle crashes, and fires—combined. Tobacco-related deaths number more than 430,000 per year among U.S. adults, representing more than 5 million years of potential life lost. Direct medical costs attributable to smoking total at least \$50 billion per year. In 1999, 35 percent of adolescents were current cigarette smokers. In 1998, 24 percent of adults were current cigarette smokers Adolescents. Adolescent rates of cigarette smoking have increased in the 1990s among white, African American, and Hispanic high school students after years of declining rates during the 1970s and 1980s. In 1999, 39 percent of white high school students currently smoked cigarettes compared with 33 percent for Hispanics and 20 percent for African Americans. Among African Americans in 1999, only 19 percent of high school girls, compared with 22 percent of boys, currently smoked cigarettes. According to the 2003 Idaho YRBSS, 17.8% of 9-12 graders reported using tobacco products within the last 30

days.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of pregnant women who received dental care during pregnancy.

GOAL

To increase the number of pregnant women of receive at least one dental visit during the second trimester of pregnency. Purpose of the visit is to identify and correct periodontal disease which lead to low birth weight deliveries and other health consequences for the mother and her young child.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of pregnant women who received dental care.

Denominator:

Number of women surveyed. Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Idaho's Pregnancy Risk Assessment Tracking System.

SIGNIFICANCE

Poor dental health has been found as a cause of low birth weight deliveries. By intervening during the second trimester, studies have shown improve birth weights. Low birth weight is associated with a number of health issues for young children. According to the 2001 PRATS survey, only 37.6 percent of all mothers went for dental care during pregnancy.

OBJECTIVE

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of Medicaid and SCHIP children who are fully immunized by age 2.

GOAL To improve immunization status of children in the state and protect them from vaccine preventable diseases.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of Medicaid and SCHIP children who are fully immunized by age 2.

Denominator

Number of Medicaid and SCHIP children enrolled that are two years of age.

Units: 100 Text: 1

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 14-24a.

Increase the proportion of young children who receive all vaccines that have been recommended for universal

administration for at least 5 years.

For the 4:3:1:3:3 series the HP2010 goal is 80% for children 19-35 months of age.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Medicaid and Immunization Program data

SIGNIFICANCE

Vaccines are among the greatest public health achievements of the 20th century. Immunizations can prevent disability and death from infectious diseases for individuals and can help control the spread of infections within communities. Idaho GPRA

surveys over the past 4 years has shown lower immunization rates among children enrolled in Medicaid when compared to the statewide average among all children. By targeting our Medicaid population we focusing efforts on the highest risk

population for health disparities.

OBJECTIVE

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of 9th – 12th grade students that are overweight.

GOAL Reduce the number of school age children who are overweight or obese.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of 9th – 12th grade students overweight.

Denominator:

19-3c.

Number of 9th – 12th grade students surveyed.

Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

Reduce the proportion of children and adolescents who are overweight or obese to 5%.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

Idaho Youth Risk Bahavior Surveillance System.

Overweight and obesity are major contributors to many preventable causes of death. On average, higher body weights are associated with higher death rates. The number of overweight children, adolescents, and adults has risen over the past four decades. Total costs (medical cost and lost productivity) attributable to obesity alone amounted to an estimated \$99 billion in 1995. Overweight and obesity substantially raise the risk of illness from high blood pressure, high cholesterol, type 2 diabetes, heart disease and stroke, gallbladder disease, arthritis, sleep disturbances and problems breathing, and certain types of cancers. Obese individuals also may suffer from social stigmatization, discrimination, and lowered self-esteem. During 1988–94, 11 percent of children and adolescents aged 6 to 19 years were overweight or obese According the 2003

Idaho YRBSS 7.4% of 9th – 12th grade students were reported as being overweight.

OBJECTIVE

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010